# Memory-controlled diffusion

Steffen Trimper<sup>\*</sup> and Knud Zabrocki Fachbereich Physik, Martin-Luther-Universität, D-06099 Halle, Germany

Michael Schulz<sup>†</sup>

Abteilung Theoretische Physik, Universität Ulm, D-89069 Ulm, Germany (Received 25 March 2004; published 29 November 2004)

Memory effects require for their incorporation into random-walk models an extension of the conventional equations. The linear Fokker-Planck equation for the probability density  $p(\vec{r},t)$  is generalized by including nonlinear and nonlocal spatial-temporal memory effects. The realization of the memory kernel is restricted due the conservation of the basic quantity p. A general criteria is given for the existence of stationary solutions. In case the memory kernel depends on p polynomially, transport may be prevented. Owing to the delay effects a finite amount of particles remains localized and the further transport is terminated. For diffusion with nonlinear memory effects we find an exact solution in the long-time limit. Although the mean square displacement exhibits diffusive behavior, higher order cumulants offer differences to diffusion and they depend on the memory strength.

DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevE.70.056133

PACS number(s): 05.70.Ln, 05.40.-a, 82.20.-w, 87.23.Kg

#### I. INTRODUCTION

Although the crucial factors governing the dynamics of systems, comprising many units, consist of interaction and competition, there is an increasing interest to include memory effects as a further unifying feature of complex physical [1,2] as well as biological systems [3]. Recently [4] memory effects in correlated anisotropic diffusion are studied in nanoporous crystalline solids. Likewise the effects of transport memory are discussed in Fisher's equation [5], also applicable for bacterial population dynamics [6], resulting in nonlinear damping and traveling wave solutions [7]. The transport with memory, depending on the survivability of a population, is analyzed in [8]. In the present paper we emphasize in an analytical solvable model, that the generic behavior of the system may be changed when additional nonlinear delay effects are included into the consideration. In particular, we discuss the transport behavior which is realized after a sufficient accumulation time and after cumulating particles within a spatial region. Thus the transport processes are affected by additional spatial correlations. The simplest way to describe transport in a medium is based on a random walk. The probability  $p(\vec{r},t)$  to find a particle at the position  $\vec{r}$  at time time t is governed by the Fokker-Planck equation [9]

$$\partial_t p(\vec{r}, t) = \mathcal{M}(\vec{r}, t; p, \tilde{\nabla} p). \tag{1}$$

Here the operator  $\mathcal{M}$  consists of an diffusive and a driving part. In case the diffusive part is relevant we follow scaling arguments, extended also to more general processes in [10]. Based on that one can identify a diffusive time scale given by  $\tau_D \simeq l^2/D$ . Here *l* is a typical length scale, where the probability is changed significantly. In case the transport pro-

\*Electronic address: trimper@physik.uni-halle.de

cess is realized after a spatial-temporal accumulation processes the time evolution of the probability could also depend on the history of the sample to which it belongs, i.e., the changing rate of the probability should be influenced by the changing rate in the past. Thus the evolution of the probability  $p(\vec{r},t)$  has to be supplemented by memory terms. Such a term models, for example the way on which a seed probability at a certain time t' had been accumulated by a delayed transport mechanism, originated by the surrounded environment of the particle. In general, the changing rate of p at time t is also determined by the accumulation rate at a former time t' < t. In between, i.e., within the interval  $\tau = t$ -t', the particles are enriched while changing the probability at t'. Regardless that process the available amount of particles at time t is governed by an instantaneous transport term as well as by the changing rate at former times t'. Consequently the evolution Eq. (1) should be modified according to

$$\partial_t p(\vec{r},t) = \mathcal{M}(\vec{r},t;p,\nabla p) + \int_0^t dt' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d r' \mathcal{K}(\vec{r}-\vec{r}',t-t';p,\vec{\nabla}p) \times \mathcal{L}(\vec{r}',t';p,\vec{\nabla}p).$$
(2)

This equation is of convolution type. Whereas the operator  $\mathcal{M}$  characterizes the instantaneous and local processes, both operators  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are responsible for the delayed processes. In general the operators  $\mathcal{M}$ ,  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are assumed to be nonlinear in  $p(\vec{r},t)$  and  $\nabla p(\vec{r},t)$ . They have to be specified according to the physical situation in mind. In particular we show that the operators are restricted when  $p(\vec{r},t)$  is a conserved quantity.

It is well-known that evolution equations with such a kind of memory kernels can be derived following the well established projector formalism due to [11], see also [12]. Notice

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>†</sup>Electronic address: michael.schulz@physik.uni-ulm.de

that Eq. (2) is an effective single particle equation embedded in a *N*-particle system. The main approach for the further analysis consists of assuming that the time scale of the memory effects is controlled by the time scale of the probability itself. The environment of a single particle is determined by the transport processes of the remaining *N* particle which follows approximately the same underlying physical processes. In the next section the operators  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{L}$  are specified based on that argument.

Our model can be grouped into the increasing efforts to discuss delay and feedback mechanism. The analysis of the projector formalism [11] had been successfully applied for the density-density correlation function studying the freezing processes in undercooled liquids [13,14]. Recently a Fokker-Planck equation with a non-linear memory term was used to discuss anomalous diffusion in disordered systems [15]. The results could be confirmed by numerical simulations including diffusion on fractals [16], see also [17,18]. Moreover, it was argued [19] that mobile particles remain localized due to the feedback-coupling. Notice that a formal solution of whole class of non-Markovian Fokker-Planck equations can be expressed through the solution of the Markovian equation with the same Fokker-Planck operator [20]. The non-Gaussian fluctuations of the asset price can be also traced back to memory effects [21]. An additional cumulative feedback coupling within the Lotka-Volterra model, which may stem from mutations of the species or a climate changing, leads to a significant different behavior [22] compared to the conventional model. If the Ginzburg-Landau model for the time evolution of an order parameter is supplemented by a competing memory term, the asymptotic behavior and the phase diagram is completely dominated by such a term [23]. Whereas the feature of the approach, proposed in those papers, consists of self-organization, i.e., the time scale of the memory is determined by the relevant variable itself, for instance the concentration, there is a broad class of models with external delay effects [24-26], for a survey and applications in biology see [27]. That case is characterized by a given external memory kernel. The differences of both approaches is discussed also in [28]. The spreading of an agent in a medium with long-time memory, which can model epidemics, is studied in [29]. Time-delayed feedback control is an efficient method for stabilizing unstable periodic orbits of chaotic systems [30] where the time delay may induce various patterns including traveling rolls, spirals and other patterns [31]. The influence of a global feedback is studied recently in a bistable system [32], where the purpose of that paper is a discussion of the domain-size control by a feedback.

In view of the large variety of systems with feedback couplings it seems to be worth to study simple models, which still conserve the crucial dynamical features of evolution models such as inherent nonlinearities and moreover, as a new ingredient, delayed feedback-couplings. In the present paper we discuss the influence of a non-Markovian memory term on transport processes. The retardation effects are characterized by the memory kernel  $\mathcal{K}$ , which is chosen in such a manner, that it competes with the conventional diffusion part and obeys the same symmetry properties. In particular, we discuss the transport behavior under the inclusion of nonlin-

ear memory term. Under that conditions the characteristic time  $\tau_D$  is modified. Accordingly we demonstrate, the long-time behavior is dominated apparently by the delay effects. The system is able to reach a stationary state with a finite probability.

## **II. MODEL**

In this section we specify the model, defined by Eq. (2), under the assumption that basic quantity  $p(\vec{r},t)$  is conserved. This condition is realized by

$$\dot{P}(t) = \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} d^d r \ p(\vec{r}, t) = 0.$$
(3)

To preserve *p* the instantaneous term  $\mathcal{M}$  has to be related to a current, e.g.,  $\mathcal{M} \propto \vec{\nabla} \cdot \vec{j}$ . Choosing  $p(\vec{r},t)=0$  for  $r \to \infty$ , we get after a Laplace transformation

$$zP(z) - P_0 = \hat{K}(z)\hat{L}(z) \text{ with } \hat{K}(z) = \int d^d r \, \mathcal{K}(\vec{r}, z), \quad (4)$$
$$\hat{L}(z) = \int d^d r \, \mathcal{L}(\vec{r}, z),$$

with  $P_0 = P(t=0)$ . For an arbitrary polynomial kernel  $\hat{K}$  the conservation law is in general not fulfilled provided the operator  $\mathcal{L}$  is simply defined by  $\mathcal{L} \equiv -\partial_t p(\vec{r}, t)$  (the minus sign is only for convention). Making this ansatz we conclude from Eq. (4)

$$[zP(z) - P_0][\hat{K}(z) + 1] = 0$$

and consequently the conservation law is guaranteed. Notice that the solution  $\hat{K}(z) = -1$  is irrelevant. Physically, the assumption for  $\mathcal{L}$  means, that we take into account a coupling of the rates, e.g., the evolution at the observation time t is directly coupled to the changing rate at t' < t. Processes in the past will be permanently reevaluated at present time. In doing so the memory kernel gives rise to a coupling of the time scales. In the vicinity of the upper limit  $t' \simeq t$  the memory term reads  $\mathcal{K}[\vec{r}, 0, p(\vec{r}, 0)]\partial_t p(\vec{r}, t)$ , i.e., a momentary change at the observation time t is coupled to the value at the initial time t=0. Therefore the very past is related to the instantaneous value of  $p(\vec{r},t)$ . In the opposite case, at the lower limit  $t' \simeq 0$ , the change of the quantity  $p(\vec{r}, t)$  near to the initial value  $\partial_{t'} p(\vec{r}, t'=0)$  is directly coupled to the instantaneous value  $p(\vec{r},t)$  via the kernel. In such a manner the memory part represents a weighted coupling of the behavior at the initial time and the observation time. Due to the coupling of the rates the long-time behavior of the system will be modified. One reason for that could be that the reacting species are embedded into an environment of all the other particles of the system. Due to the mutual interaction, reactants, lacking at time t, were annihilated at an earlier time t'. Especially in sufficiently complex diffusion-reaction systems the feedback and memory effects should be relevant. In such system additional degrees of freedom like in flexible macromolecules in melts or in concentrated solutions [33], nematic

elastomer [34] or in biology [27]. In that context one is interested in the description of effluent reprocessing plants in systems with closed water circulation. A special ecosystem of aerobic and anaerobic microorganisms is evolved in the clarifiers of such systems due to natural immigration or due to additional allowance. The living conditions of the microorganisms are mutually associated via the exchange of intermediate catabolic products. Each change of the concentration of one species will be stored in the food chain and effects the evolution of this species at a later time. Furthermore, the partial mixing in the clarifiers by convection and diffusion processes enlarges the effects over the whole system, so that the memory integral introduced in Eq. (1) includes both the time and the spatial coordinates. This special example may be extended also to other complex biological, chemical or engineering problems with various hidden degrees of freedom, which are able to influence the evolution of a selected component significantly, for instance by biological interaction with other species via the food chain or via biological competition. Such effects which are partially observable, could contribute to the memory term.

From Eq. (2) we can make some general statements for an arbitrary kernel  $\mathcal{K}(\vec{r},t;p,\vec{\nabla}p)$  and  $\mathcal{L}(\vec{r},t;p,\vec{\nabla}p)=-\partial_t p(\vec{r},t)$ . As stressed before the last condition guarantees the conservation of  $p(\vec{r},t)$ . After Fourier transformation with respect to the spatial coordinate and Laplace transformation with respect to the time, we get from Eq. (2)

$$p(\vec{k},z) = \frac{p_0(\vec{k})}{z + \hat{D}(\vec{k},z)k^2} \text{ with } \hat{D}(\vec{k},z) = \frac{D}{1 + \mathcal{K}(\vec{k},z)}, \quad (5)$$

where  $\mathcal{K}(\vec{k}, z)$  is the Fourier-Laplace transformed kernel. Assuming a regular behavior of the kernel we make the ansatz

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{k},z) = \frac{B(\vec{k})}{z} + \Omega(\vec{k},z) \text{ with } \lim_{z \to 0} \Omega(\vec{k},z) = \text{finite.} \quad (6)$$

Provided the kernel reveals a finite stationary value  $\lim_{t\to\infty} \mathcal{K}(\vec{k},t) \equiv B(\vec{k})$ , then  $p(\vec{r},t)$  yields a stationary solution, too. Inserting Eq. (6) in Eq. (5) we obtain

$$p(\vec{k},z) = \frac{g(\vec{k})}{z} + \psi(\vec{k},z), \text{ with } g(\vec{k}) = \frac{p_0(\vec{k})}{B(\vec{k}) + Dk^2},$$
$$\psi(\vec{k},z) = \frac{p_0(\vec{k})Dk^2[1 + \Omega(\vec{k},z)]}{[A(\vec{k}) + Dk^2)][z(1 + \Omega(\vec{k},z)) + B(\vec{k}) + Dk^2]}.$$
(7)

Summarizing the results we conclude that the model, following Eq. (2) for the conserved quantity  $p(\vec{r},t)$ , gives rise to a nontrivial stationary solution  $g(\vec{k})$ , or equivalent  $g(\vec{r})$ , if the Laplace transformed kernel  $\mathcal{K}(\vec{k},z)$  satisfies the conditions

$$\lim_{z \to 0} z \mathcal{K}(\vec{k}, z) \neq 0.$$
(8)

This result is a generalization of a previous one obtained for a homogeneous system [35].

Since  $p(\vec{r},t)$  is a probability density we can derive an evolution equation for the mean square displacement  $s(t) = \langle \vec{r}^2 \rangle$ . Provided the function  $p(\vec{r},t)$  is already normalized, one finds

$$\frac{d}{dt}s(t) = 2dD - \int_0^t dt' \hat{K}(t-t')\hat{L}_2(t') - \int_0^t dt' \hat{K}_2(t-t')\hat{L}(t'),$$
(9)

where  $\hat{K}$  and  $\hat{L}$  are defined in Eq. (4) and  $\hat{K}_2$  and  $\hat{L}_2$  are the corresponding second moments. In the simplest case without memory and  $\mathcal{M}=D\nabla^2 p(\vec{r},t)$  one concludes from Eq. (9) s(t)=2dDt. In the next section we discuss two cases with nonzero kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  depending on  $p(\vec{r},t)$  itself, e.g., the timespace scale of the memory is given by the scales where the variable  $p(\vec{r},t)$  becomes relevant.

#### **III. NONLINEAR MEMORY**

For applications we consider the case of diffusive instantaneous term given by

$$\mathcal{M} = D\bar{\nabla}^2 p(\vec{r}, t), \tag{10}$$

where *D* is the diffusion constant. In the first subsection let us illustrate the influence of memory by specifying the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  by a power law in the quantity *p*. Hereby we follow the ideas discussed recently [15,19].

#### A. Polynomial kernel

Based on the analysis of undercooled liquids in the frame of mode-coupling theory [13,14] the random walk had been analyzed in a glasslike environment [15]. In that case the memory kernel becomes

$$\mathcal{K}(\vec{r},t) = \lambda p^2(\vec{r},t), \tag{11}$$

where  $\lambda$  is the strength of the memory. Because in that case  $\hat{K}(t)$  is different from zero and in general different from -1 one has to choose the operator  $\mathcal{L}=-\partial_t p(\vec{r},t)$  to preserve the basic quantity *p*. The evolution equation reads

$$\partial_t p(\vec{r},t) = D\nabla^2 p(\vec{r},t) - \lambda \int_0^t dt' \int_{-\infty}^\infty d^d r' p^2(\vec{r}-\vec{r}',t-t')$$
$$\times \partial_{t'} p(\vec{r}',t'). \tag{12}$$

Making a simple scaling transformation  $\vec{r} \rightarrow \Lambda \vec{r}$ ,  $t \rightarrow \Lambda^{z}t$ ,  $p \rightarrow \Lambda^{-d}p$ , the evolution equation with the memory kernel, defined by Eq. (11) remains invariant by the replacement  $D \rightarrow D\Lambda^{2-z}$  and  $\lambda \rightarrow \lambda \Lambda^{d-2}$ . From here one gets the critical dimension  $d_{c}=2$ . Defining according to Eq. (6)  $\hat{K}(t) = \lambda \int d^{d}r p^{2}(\vec{r},t)$ , the mean square displacement s(t) obeys as follows from Eq. (9) after Laplace transformation



FIG. 1. The mean square displacement in units of the stationary solution  $s(t)/s_{\infty}$  as function of time *t* (in arbitrary units), according to Eqs. (13) and (14).

$$s(z) = \frac{s_0[z + z\hat{K}(z)] + 2Dd}{z[z + z\hat{K}(z)]} \text{ with } s_0 = s(t = 0) = 0.$$
(13)

As demonstrated in [19] the nonlinear transport process with the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  in Eq. (11) allows a stationary solution. Following Eq. (6) the stationary part of the kernel reads

$$\hat{K}(z) = \frac{\tilde{B}}{z}$$
 with  $\tilde{B} \equiv B(\vec{k}=0) = \lambda \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d^d r \ g^2(\vec{r}) \neq 0$ .

where  $g(\vec{r})$  is the stationary solution according to Eq. (7). As a result the mean square displacement exhibits a finite stationary solution

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} s(t) \equiv s_{\infty} = \frac{2dD}{\tilde{B}} \propto \frac{D}{\lambda},$$
(14)

which is only reasonable for a positive memory strength  $\lambda > 0$  in agreement with [15,19]. For simplicity the initial value  $s_0$  is assumed to be zero. In the short time regime, characterized by  $z \ge 0$ , we find  $s(z) \simeq 2dDz^{-2}$ . As a consequence the mean square displacement increases linearly in t, whereas s(t) becomes constant in the long-time limit. The result is shown in Fig. 1. The characteristic cross-over time from a linear increase in time to the constant stationary value, given by Eq. (14), is given by  $t_c = 1/B$ . A linear stability analysis shows that the finite stationary solution is stable. Physically a finite stationary limit can be identified with localization. Owing the self-organized memory effects a finite amount of particles remains localized preventing a further transport. The analytical results are well confirmed by numerical simulations [16]. Note that one can also calculate higher order moments like  $w(t) = \langle (\vec{r}^2)^2 \rangle$  which offers also a stationary behavior which fulfills the relation

$$\lim_{t \to \infty} w(t) = \left(1 + \frac{2}{d}\right) \left(2 - \frac{\tilde{B}_2}{Dd}\right) \lim_{t \to \infty} s^2(t)$$

with  $\tilde{B}_2 = \lambda \int d^d r \, \vec{r}^2 g^2(\vec{r})$ .

A similar behavior can be deduced for another power law memory kernels. Let us simply estimate the localization effect. From Eq. (12) one concludes that at the initial time t = 0 conventional diffusion occurs. For a subsequent small time interval and at a fixed spatial point, Eq. (12) is yet of diffusion type but with an effective diffusion parameter  $D_{\text{eff}} < D$ . This reduction of the mobility will be amplified with increasing time leading to localization. Whenever the probability  $p(\vec{r}, t \rightarrow \infty)$  tends to a stationary distribution, the mean square displacement s(t) tends also to a time independent quantity which means likewise localization. Assuming  $\partial_{t'}p(\vec{r'},t') < 0$ , i.e., a particle leaves prefentially the point  $\vec{r'}$  at time t', then one concludes from Eq. (12) that  $\partial_t p(\vec{r},t) > 0$ . Thus, the return probability to an originally occupied site is enhanced. Notice that this conclusion is valid provided the kernel is positive. In our case this condition is fulfilled if  $\lambda > 0$ ; see Eq. (11).

#### **B.** Nonlinear diffusion

Now we regard as a further realization of Eq. (2) with a conserved  $p(\vec{r},t)$  a nonlinear diffusion equation. Here the nonlinearity may be originated by the memory. For example, a Brownian particle moving in a strongly disordered medium, can be subjected to additional delay effects due to the interaction with the environment. Another application could be realized by transport of food in plants, where the food is temporally stored in certain cells and released later as required.

In terms of our model the instantaneous term is chosen as conventional diffusion, but the memory kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  is also related to gradient terms, i.e., the  $\mathcal{K} = \mu \nabla^2 p$ . This realization is a generalization of Cattaneo's law [36–38], where the current is assumed to be  $\vec{j}(\vec{r},t+\tau) \propto \nabla \vec{p}(\vec{r},t)$ . Thus, the model includes not only the instantaneous and local coupling to the gradient but also there appears a nonlocal, time-delayed diffusive mechanism. The evolution equation reads after partial integration

$$\partial_t p(\vec{r},t) = D\nabla^2 p(\vec{r},t) -\mu \int_0^t dt' \int_{-\infty}^\infty d^d r' \vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r}-\vec{r}',t-t') \cdot \partial_{t'} \vec{\nabla} p(\vec{r}',t').$$
(15)

The nonlinear term is a competitive one to the conventional diffusion term. Both concentration fluctuations at times *t* and *t'*, respectively contribute to the behavior of the system. Different to the diffusion constant D > 0, the sign of the parameter  $\mu$  can be positive and negative indicating the "direction" of the feedback. Note that in this case the memory is not determined by an external function as in the previous section, but the memory is self-organized by the quantity  $p(\vec{r},t)$  and  $\nabla p$ . The non-linear term is of the same form as used in the so-called KPZ equation [39].

As discussed before, Eq. (15) remains invariant under a simple scaling transformation for the spatial and time variable as well as the probability density by replacing

$$D \to \Lambda^{2-z} D, \quad \mu \to \Lambda^{2-z} \mu.$$

From here we conclude that the non-linear feedback term in Eq. (15) behaves like diffusion. In terms of the effective

diffusion parameter  $\hat{D}$ , introduced in Eq. (5), the model leads to

$$\hat{D}(\vec{k},z) = \frac{D}{1+\mu k^2 p(\vec{k},z)}.$$

For a positive memory parameter  $\mu > 0$ , it results  $\hat{D} < D$ . In the long wave length limit  $\vec{k}=0$  we find  $\hat{D}(\vec{k}=0,z)=D$ , while in the long time limit corresponding to  $z \rightarrow 0$ , the effective diffusion parameter is different from *D*. According to Eq. (7) the model exhibits two stationary solutions

$$g_{+}(\vec{r}) = 0$$
 and  $g_{-}(\vec{r}) = p_{0}(\vec{r}) - \frac{1}{\kappa^{2}}\delta(\vec{r}),$ 

where  $\kappa^2 = \mu/D$  is a scale-free parameter. In case of  $p_0(\vec{r}) = p_0 \delta(\vec{r})$  the stationary solution  $g_-$  reads

$$g_{-}(\vec{r}) = \left[p_0 - \frac{D}{\mu}\right]\delta(\vec{r})$$

The nontrivial solution is only accessible if  $g_->0$ . Because both solutions are stable within a linear stability analysis, compare Eq. (7), one has to study the complete dynamics. To that aim let us perform a combined Fourier and Laplace transformation of Eq. (15). The resulting quadratic equation has two solutions  $p_{\pm}(\vec{k}, z)$  with

$$p_{+}(\vec{k},z) = \frac{p_{0}(\vec{k})}{2A_{1}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{n+1} a_{n} \frac{(4A_{1})^{n} z^{n-1}}{(z+A_{2})^{2n-1}},$$
$$p_{-}(\vec{k},z) = -\frac{p_{0}(\vec{k})}{A_{1}} - \frac{A_{2}}{A_{1}z} - p_{+}(\vec{k},z),$$

with

$$A_1(\vec{k}) = \mu k^2 p_0(\vec{k}), A_2(\vec{k}) = k^2 [D - \mu p_0(\vec{k})],$$
  
and  $a_n = \frac{\Gamma\left(n - \frac{1}{2}\right)}{2\sqrt{\pi}n!}.$  (16)

Applying for simplicity the initial condition  $p_0(\vec{k})=p_0$  like above, we find after a tedious but straightforward calculation an exact expression for  $p(\vec{k},t)$  with

$$p_{+}(\vec{k},t) = \frac{p_{0}e^{-A_{2}t}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\Gamma(n-1/2)(-4A_{1}t)^{n-1}}{n\Gamma^{2}(n)} M(1-n,n;A_{2}t)$$
$$\equiv f(k^{2}t), \tag{17}$$

where  $M(a,b;x) \equiv {}_1F_1(a,b;x)$  is Kummer's confluent hypergeometric function [40]. The corresponding solution  $p_-(\vec{k},t)$ follows from Eq. (16). Using the expressions for the quantities  $A_1$  and  $A_2 > 0$ , defined in Eq. (16), one concludes that the solution  $p_+(\vec{k},t)$  is only a function of the variable  $k^2t$  as in case of conventional diffusion. Therefore, after Fourier transformation the solutions can be written as



FIG. 2. The picture shows the differences between conventional diffusion and the behavior of  $p_+(\vec{r},t)$  denoted as  $\delta p$  as function of x and t in arbitrary units. The result is based on Eqs. (18) and (20) with D=1,  $p_0=1$ , and  $\mu=0.1$ .

$$p_{+}(\vec{r},t) = \frac{1}{t^{d/2}} F\left(\frac{\vec{r}^{2}}{t}\right),$$
(18)

where the scaling function F(w) is different from the diffusive case, see below. To proceed further let us use the asymptotic representation of Kummer's function leading to

$$p_{+}(\vec{k},t) \simeq p_{0}e^{-A_{2}t}\frac{I_{1}(2t\sqrt{A_{1}A_{2}})}{t\sqrt{A_{1}A_{2}}}.$$
(19)

 $I_1(y)$  is the modified Bessel function [40]. In the long time limit the solution  $p_+$ , belonging to the stationary solution  $g_+=0$ , is a stable one, whereas the other solution  $p_-$ , related to  $g_->0$ , becomes unstable because in that case  $A_2$  is negative. Actually, from Eq. (19) we can get the spatiotemporal solution. Remark that a divergent factor, proportional to  $k^2$  in the denominator of Eq. (19) is compensated by the modified Bessel function  $I_1$ . This is also the reason that one has to avoid the asymptotic expansion for  $I_1$  to estimate the scaling function F(w) introduced in Eq. (18). We obtain

$$F(w) = \frac{p_0 e^{-\vec{r}^2/4(D-\mu p_0)t}}{[4\pi(D-\mu p_0)]^{d/2}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{p_0\mu}{D-\mu p_0}\right)^n \frac{(2n)!}{\Gamma(n+2)n!} \times L_{2n}^{d/2-1} \left(\frac{\vec{r}^2}{4(D-\mu p_0)t}\right),$$
(20)

with the generalized Laguerre polynomials  $L_a^b(x)$ . The different behavior of  $p_+(\vec{r},t)$  in comparison to conventional diffusion is depicted in Fig. 2. In the initial time interval there occurs oscillations in agreement with the representation of the Laguerre polynomials [40], however in the long time limit the behavior of p is only slightly different from diffusion. The difference to conventional diffusion may be also established by analyzing the mean square displacement s(t) $=\langle \vec{r}^2 \rangle$ . According to Eq. (9) s(t) obeys the equation

$$\frac{d}{dt}s(t) = 2dD - \int_0^t dt' \hat{K}(t-t')\hat{L}_2(t') - \int_0^t dt' \hat{K}_2(t-t')\hat{L}(t').$$
(21)

Within the model, discussed in this section, we find from Eq. (21) s(t)=2dDt. The mean square displacement is identical with that for diffusion. It is independent on the memory strength  $\mu$ . The difference to diffusion becomes visible in higher order moments. Using the same procedure as for deriving Eq. (21), the fourth order moment  $w(t) = \langle (\vec{r}^2)^2 \rangle$  obeys

$$\frac{d}{dt}w(t) = s(t)[4D(d+2) + 2\mu(d+4)].$$

From here the fourth order cumulant  $C_4(t) = w(t) - 3s(t)$  is deduced to be

$$C_4(t) = (2Dt)^2 2d \left[ 1 - d + \frac{\mu}{4D}(d+4) \right]$$

which is different from conventional diffusion with  $C_4(t) = 2d(1-d)(2Dt)^2$ . The cumulant depends on the ratio  $\mu/D = \kappa^2$ . The result can be extended to higher order cumulants.

## **IV. CONCLUSIONS**

In this paper we have extended the conventional modeling of diffusive processes by including non-Markovian memory terms within the evolution equation. The additional terms are chosen in such a manner that the relevant variable  $p(\vec{r},t)$  can be normalized. By this requirement the form of the memory term is restricted to a class where the changing rate of p at the observation time t is coupled to the changing rate of p at a former time t'. Insofar the delay effect offers a long time memory due to  $0 \le t' \le t$ . Further the model exhibits also a long range memory because the kernel  $\mathcal{K}$  depends on  $\vec{r} - \vec{r'}$ . As a new ingredient the memory term is determined by the basic quantity  $p(\vec{r},t)$  itself, i.e., the memory is dominated by the spatial-temporal scale of the probability density p. In the paper we demonstrate that such a self-organized feedback coupling may change the dynamical behavior of the system essentially, in particular due to the nonlinearity of the memory effects. In case the memory offers a power law dependence on p with a memory strength  $\lambda$  the particle performing a random walk, can be localized and therefore a further transport is prevented. This situation is realized for an "attractive" memory strength  $\lambda > 0$ , where the return probability dominates the dynamical behavior. There exists a finite stationary limit of the mean square displacement s(t)determined by the ratio of the diffusive constant versus the memory strength:  $s(t \rightarrow \infty) \propto D/\lambda$ . The reason for such a behavior is by means of an explicit coupling of the rate of the concentration at the observation time t to that one at a previous time. This time accumulation is further accompanied by an additional spatial accumulation, the effect of which is comparable to the effect a long-range interaction forces and consequently the results are basically independent on the spatial dimensions in according to scaling arguments. These many-body effects are shown to change the asymptotic behavior drastically. Due to the feedback-coupling of a particle to its environment, a subsequent particle, undergoing a diffusive motion, gains information from a modified environment. Hence the particle can be confined within a certain region preventing a further transport. In this manner a selforganized memory leads to a nonzero stationary mean square displacement controlled by the memory strength.

A further application is given by a nonlinear diffusive process where the memory is also originated by gradient terms with the strength  $\mu$ . Although the additional non-linear memory term offers the same scaling behavior as conventional diffusion the resulting probability distribution is completely different from diffusion. Whereas the second moment, the mean square displacement, shows a diffusive behavior, higher order cumulants, in particular Binder's cumulant

$$C_B(t) = 1 - \frac{w(t)}{3s^2(t)} = \frac{2}{3d} \left[ 1 - d + \frac{\mu}{4D}(d+4) \right]$$

reveals deviations from conventional diffusion. Especially,  $C_B$  is determined by the strength of the memory. The analysis will be extended to chemical reactions in multicomponent systems.

#### ACKNOWLEDGMENT

This work was supported by the DFG (SFB 418).

- [1] L.S. Tsimring and A. Pikovsky, Phys. Rev. Lett. 87, 250602 (2001).
- [2] C. Masoller, Phys. Rev. Lett. 88, 034102 (2002); 90, 020601 (2003).
- [3] M. Freeman, Nature (London) 408, 313 (2000).
- [4] S. Fritzsche and J. Kärger, Europhys. Lett. 63, 465 (2003).
- [5] D. ben-Avraham and S. Havlin, *Diffusion and Reactions in Fractals and Disordered Systems* (Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 2000).
- [6] V. M. Kenkre and M. N. Kuperman, Phys. Rev. E 67, 051921 (2003).

- [7] G. Abramson, A. R. Bishop, and V. M. Kenkre, Phys. Rev. E 64, 066615 (2001).
- [8] S. Harris, J. Phys. A 36, 8291 (2003).
- [9] C. W. Gardiner, *Handbook of Stochastic Methods* (Springer, Berlin, 1994).
- [10] S. Cornell and M. Droz, Phys. Rev. Lett. 70, 3824 (1993).
- [11] H. Mori, Prog. Theor. Phys. 34, 399 (1965).
- [12] G. Fick and E. Sauermann, *Quantenstatistik Dynamischer Prozesse* (Akademische Verlagsgesellschaft Geest & Portig K. G., Leipzig, 1983), Vol I.
- [13] E. Leutheusser, Phys. Rev. A 29, 2765 (1984).

- [14] W. Götze, in *Liquids, Freezing and the Glass Transition*, edited by J. P. Hansen *et al.* (North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1991); for a recent survey, see J. Phys.: Condens. Matter **11**, A1 (1999).
- [15] M. Schulz and S. Stepanow, Phys. Rev. B 59, 13528 (1999).
- [16] B. M. Schulz and S. Trimper, Phys. Lett. A 256, 266 (1999);
  B. M. Schulz, S. Trimper, and M. Schulz, Eur. Phys. J. B 15, 499 (2000);
  B. M. Schulz, M. Schulz, and S. Trimper, Phys. Rev. E 66, 031106 (2002).
- [17] Z.-J. Tan, X.-W. Zou, S.-Y. Huang, W. Zhang, and Z.-Z. Jin, Phys. Rev. E 65, 041101 (2002).
- [18] R. Morgado, F. A. Oliveira, G. G. Batrouni, and A. Hansen, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 100601 (2002).
- [19] M. Schulz and S. Trimper, Phys. Rev. B 64, 233101 (2001).
- [20] I. M. Sokolov, Phys. Rev. E 66, 041101 (2002).
- [21] M. Schulz, S. Trimper, and B. Schulz, Phys. Rev. E 64, 026104 (2001).
- [22] S. Trimper, K. Zabrocki, and M. Schulz, Phys. Rev. E 65, 056106 (2002).
- [23] S. Trimper, K. Zabrocki, and M. Schulz, Phys. Rev. E 66, 026114 (2002).
- [24] T. Ohira and T. Yamane, Phys. Rev. E 61, 1247 (2000).
- [25] R. Gerami, Phys. Rev. E 65, 036102 (2002).

- [26] S. Fedotov and Y. Okuda, Phys. Rev. E 66, 021113 (2002).
- [27] J. D. Murray, *Mathematical Biology* (Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2000).
- [28] S. Trimper and K. Zabrocki, Phys. Lett. A 321, 205 (2004).
- [29] P. Grassberger, H. Chaté, and G. Rousseau, Phys. Rev. E 55, 2488 (1997).
- [30] K. Pyragas, Phys. Rev. E 66, 026207 (2002).
- [31] S.-O. Jeong, T.-W. Ko, and H.-T. Moon, Phys. Rev. Lett. 89, 154104 (2002).
- [32] H. Sakaguchi, Phys. Rev. E 64, 047101 (2001).
- [33] P. G. de Gennes, J. Chem. Phys. 76, 3316 (1982).
- [34] X. Xing and L. Radzihovsky, Europhys. Lett. 61, 769 (2003).
- [35] S. Trimper and K. Zabrocki, Phys. Lett. A 321, 205 (2004).
- [36] G. Catteneo, Atti Semin. Mat. Fis. Univ. Modena 3, 83 (1948).
- [37] A. Compte and R. Metzler, J. Phys. A 30, 7277 (1997).
- [38] E. Ahmed and S. Z. Hassan, Z. Naturforsch., A: Phys. Sci. 57a, 669 (2000).
- [39] M. Kardar, G. Parisi, and Y.-C. Zhang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 56, 889 (1986).
- [40] Handbook of Mathematical Functions, edited by M. Abramowitz and A. Stegun (Verlag Harri Deutsch, Frankfurt, 1984).